

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

Competitive Product Prices
Bilateral Agreement Between United States Postal
Service and Royal Mail Group, LTD (MC2010-34)
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2015-1

CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 1

(Issued October 6, 2014)

To clarify its filing in this case, the Postal Service is requested to provide written responses to the following questions. Answers should be provided no later than October 8, 2014.

1. Please confirm (or explain why you cannot confirm) that the Postal Service's request in this docket is independent of the Royal Mail Group Inbound Air Parcel Post Agreement (Royal Mail Agreement), Docket Nos. MC2009-24 and CP2009-28.
2. Please confirm (or explain why you cannot confirm) that the parcels that eventually may be sent (via outbound mail) pursuant to the IMRS requested in this docket would "originate" as inbound mail.
3. Please confirm (or explain why you cannot confirm) that there is currently no listing for a Royal Mail Agreement within the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Foreign Postal Operator 1 grouping in the MCS.

4. United States Postal Service Notice of Filing Functionally Equivalent Agreement with Royal Mail Group, Ltd., October 1, 2014 (Notice), states that IMRS was created as an ancillary product in bilateral agreements with Canada Post and Australia Post. Notice at 4.
 - a. Please confirm that the referenced Canada Post and Australia Post "parent" agreements are listed within the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Foreign Postal Operator 1 grouping in the MCS.
 - b. Please confirm that the IMRS parcels in the referenced Canada Post and Australia Post agreements are inbound parcels.
 - c. Please confirm that if the request in this docket is approved, the IMRS parcels would be outbound parcels.
5. Please confirm (or explain why you cannot confirm) that the request in the Notice is part of a broader agreement with Royal Mail Group. If confirmed, please address when the Postal Service anticipates filing the broader Royal Mail agreement.
6. Please confirm (or explain why you cannot confirm) that the request in this docket can be characterized as including an outbound ancillary service within an inbound product.
7. Please provide proposed MCS treatment for the service requested in this docket.

By the Chairman.

Ruth Y. Goldway